Quantum interference of surface states in bismuth nanowires probed by the Aharonov-Bohm oscillatory behavior of the magnetoresistance

Authors: A. Nikolaeva, D. Gitsu, L. Konopko, grafm., T.E. Huber

Persistent link: http://hdl.handle.net/2345/bc-ir:107149

This work is posted on eScholarship@BC, Boston College University Libraries.

Published in *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, vol. 77, no. 7, 2008

©2008 The American Physical Society. These materials are made available for use in research, teaching and private study, pursuant to U.S. Copyright Law. The user must assume full responsibility for any use of the materials, including but not limited to, infringement of copyright and publication rights of reproduced materials. Any materials used for academic research or otherwise should be fully credited with the source.

Quantum interference of surface states in bismuth nanowires probed by the Aharonov-Bohm oscillatory behavior of the magnetoresistance

A. Nikolaeva,^{1,2} D. Gitsu,¹ L. Konopko,^{1,2} M. J. Graf,³ and T. E. Huber⁴

¹Institute of Electronic Engineering and Industrial Technologies, Academy of Sciences of Moldova, Chisinau, MD 2028

²International Laboratory of High Magnetic Fields and Low Temperatures, 53-421 Wroclaw, Poland

³Department of Physics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, USA

⁴Howard University, Washington, DC 20059-0001, USA

(Received 21 March 2007; revised manuscript received 26 September 2007; published 28 February 2008)

We report the observation of an oscillatory dependence of the low-temperature resistance of individual single-crystal bismuth nanowires on the Aharonov-Bohm phase of the magnetic flux threading the wire. 55 and 75 nm wires were investigated in magnetic fields of up to 14 T. For 55 nm nanowires, longitudinal magnetoresistance periods of 0.8 and 1.6 T that were observed at magnetic fields over 4 T are assigned to h/2e to h/e magnetic flux modulation. The same modes of oscillation were observed in 75 nm wires. The observed effects are consistent with models of the Bi surface where surface states give rise to a significant population of charge carriers of high effective mass that form a highly conducting tube around the nanowire. In the 55 nm wires, the Fermi energy of the surface band is estimated to be 18 meV. An interpretation of the magnetoresistance oscillations in terms of a subband structure in the surface state band caused by quantum interference in the tube is presented.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.77.075332

PACS number(s): 73.20.-r, 71.18.+y, 73.23.Ad, 73.50.-h

I. INTRODUCTION

The semimetal bismuth has electrons and holes with very low effective masses, and, as a result, electronic quantum confinement effects induce a semimetal-to-semiconductor (SMSC) transformation for wires with diameters below 50 nm, which is roughly the Fermi wavelength λ_F of bulk bismuth. The SMSC transformation allows control of the band structure through the wire diameter and can be considered as the basis of a method of making materials with attractive properties.¹ For example, theoretical work² based on one-dimensional models indicates that Bi wires of small diameters may exhibit superior thermoelectric properties since the density of states at the Fermi level can be tuned, using doping, to very high values and that Bi nanowires may achieve thermoelectric efficiencies of practical interest for wire diameters d less than 10 nm. However, fine nanowires have a large surface area per unit volume, and the surface properties of bismuth have to be considered. One important influence of the surface in semiconductors is the appearance of surface states with energy levels in the gap between valence and conduction bands.³

Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) studies of planar Bi surfaces have shown that they support surface states, with carrier densities Σ of around 5 $\times 10^{12}$ cm⁻² and large effective masses m_{Σ} of around 0.3.⁴ The observed effects are consistent with theories of the surface of nonmagnetic conductors whereby Rashba spin-orbit interaction gives rise to a significant population of surface carriers.^{5–9} Measurements of the Fermi surface of small-diameter bismuth nanowires employing the Shubnikov–de Haas (SdH) method¹⁰ have also been interpreted by assuming the presence of surface charge carriers with $\Sigma=2 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻² and with the same effective mass as in ARPES. Taking into account the surface area per unit volume of these nanowires, there is a rough agreement regarding the carrier

density and effective mass obtained from measurements of the Fermi surface of 30-nm-diameter Bi nanowires and ARPES measurements. There have been many electronic transport studies of very thin films that hinted at the presence of an excess carrier density on thin films of Bi.^{11–13} Recently, quantum well states have been detected in very thin Bi films using ARPES¹⁴ indicating that the surface states maintain coherence over distances of the order of 1 nm and form a discrete number of states as a result. Despite the scientific and technical importance of these effects, experiments that test electronic transport properties of quantum states that appear caused by quantum interference in Bi nanostructures are lacking.

Given the bulk electron n and hole p densities (in undoped Bi, $n=p=3 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³ at 4 K) and the surface density Σ measured by ARPES or by SdH, one expects the surface carriers to become a clear majority in nanowires with diameters below 100 nm at low temperatures; the ratio of surface carrier density to bulk electrons or holes is 12 for 55 nm wires. At that point, the nanowire should effectively become a conducting tube. The electrical transport properties of nanotubes are unique because the wavelike nature of the charge carriers manifests itself as a periodic oscillation in the electrical resistance as a function of the enclosed magnetic flux $\Phi = (\pi/4)d^2B$. When the phase coherence length l_{α} exceeds the circumference, quantum interference between loop trajectories can induce magnetoresistance oscillations with period h/e [known as Aharonov-Bohm (AB) oscillations¹⁵], where e is the electron charge and h is the Planck constant. The AB phase is $e\Phi/h$. Oscillations with period h/2e(known as Altshuler-Aronov-Spivak (AAS)¹⁶) are also observed. AAS is attributed to the interference of a time reversed pair of electron waves and associated with disorder. AB and AAS oscillations have been observed in various conducting rings,^{17–19} tubes,¹⁶ solid cylinders that assimilate tubes such as multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWNTs),²⁰ and bismuth nanowires in the diameter range of 150–1000 nm.²¹ In the latter case, theoretical studies have focused on a whispering gallery model of low-effective-mass electrons that define a highly conducting tube in the boundary of the solid cylinder.²²

Here, we report on a study of the magnetoresistance of small-diameter individual Bi nanowires down to 2 K and for magnetic fields up to 14 T. The 55 and 75 nm samples that were investigated displayed pronounced h/2e and weak h/eresistance oscillations as a function of magnetic flux at high magnetic fields (B > 4 T for 55 nm wires). The observation of these periods is consistent with considering Bi nanowires as a tube of surface states. Since our individual nanowires were long, their contact resistance can be neglected; the elastic scattering length l_{e} that is found, 250 nm, is longer than the wire perimeter. Since AB quantum interference and ballistic transport, rather than AAS, conditions apply, we are led to an interpretation of the magnetoresistance oscillations in terms of a modulation of the density of states, which is tantamount to a subband structure of the band of surface states. Deviations of the high-field AB period for low magnetic fields parallel to the wire length and periodic oscillations that are observed for magnetic fields perpendicular to the wire length are tentatively discussed in terms of spin effects. In Sec. II we discuss the experiment, and in Sec. III we discuss the results. A summary is presented in Sec. IV.

II. EXPERIMENTS

For this work, samples of individual Bi nanowires were fabricated using a two-step process. In the first step, 200 nm wires were prepared using the Ulitovsky technique, by which a high-frequency induction coil melts a 99.999%-pure Bi boule within a borosilicate glass capsule, simultaneously softening the glass. Glass capillaries (length is in the tens of centimeters) containing the 200 nm Bi filament^{21,23} were produced by drawing material from the glass. Encapsulation of the Bi filament in glass protects it from oxidation and mechanical stress. In the second step, the capillaries were stretched to reduce the diameter of the Bi wire from 200 to less than 100 nm. The resultant Bi filament was not continuous, yet sections that are a fraction of a millimeter in length could be selected with the aid of an optical microscope. Electrical connections to the nanowires were performed using $In_{0.5}Ga_{0.5}$ eutectic. This type of solder consistently makes good contacts, as compared to other low-melting-point solders, but it has the disadvantage that it diffuses at room temperature into the Bi nanowire rather quickly. Consequently, the nanowire has to be used in the low-temperature experiment immediately after the solder is applied; otherwise, the oscillations of the magnetoresistance that we discuss are not observed. The samples used in this work are, to date, the smallest diameter single Bi wires for which the electronic transport at low temperatures has been reported. The wires are characterized by an electronic diameter $d = \sqrt{\rho_{\rm Bi} l/R_o}$, where $\rho_{\rm Bi} = 1.1 \times 10^{-4} \ \Omega$ cm is the 300 K bulk resistivity, *l* is the wire length, and R_0 is the wire resistance. In the present study, we used samples with d=55 and 75 nm. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of the 55 nm nanowire is shown in the inset of Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. Temperature-dependent resistances of 55 and 75 nm diameter single Bi nanowires. The length of the 55 nm nanowire is 0.65 mm. The resistance of the 75 nm wire has been scaled to match the 55 nm data at 300 K. Inset: SEM cross-sectional image of the 55 nm wire (clear) in its 20 μ m glass envelope (gray background). SEM cross sections are obtained by polishing that may grind the glass preferentially, making the nanowire appear larger; in the SEM in the figure, the nanowire cross section measures 70 nm.

In addition to the large surface area per unit volume, quantum confinement of the bulk states also plays a role in making the surface carriers dominate the electronic transport in Bi nanowires of small diameter. For T > 100 K, the nanowires' resistance $R(T) \sim \exp(\Delta/2k_BT)$. Δ is found to be 10 ± 5 meV for both the 55 nm and 75 nm wires. Following Choi et al.,²⁴ [see Eq. (1) of this paper], Δ is interpreted as the energy gap between the electron and hole band in the core of the nanowires. The values of Δ that are observed are in rough agreement with theoretical work,² which indicates that the band overlap decreases substantially below the value for bulk Bi (38 meV) because of quantum confinement. Therefore, one expects the electron and hole densities in our nanowires to be less than n. This would have the effect of increasing the ratio R_{SV} of surface carriers to bulklike electrons and holes in the nanowires. It can be surmised that the low-temperature electronic transport that is observed is mediated by surface states. The square resistance R of surface states is found to be around 300 Ω .

Magnetic field-dependent resistance [R(B)] measurements in the 0 to 14 T range were carried out at the International High Magnetic Field and Low Temperatures Laboratory (Wroclaw, Poland), and we employed a device that tilts the sample axis with respect to the magnetic field and also rotates the sample around its axis; the angles are defined in Fig. 2, inset. Figure 2 shows the low-temperature R(B) of a 55 nm wire for $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and for a case where $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$. The former and latter cases are the longitudinal magnetoresistance (LMR) and transverse magnetoresistance (TMR) cases, respectively. In the LMR case, R(B) decreases for increasing magnetic field, and at 14 T, R(B) is ~100 k Ω , which is an estimate of the upper value of the contact resistance. The decrease of the resistance with applied magnetic field parallel to the wirelength is typical of Bi nanowires of large diameter²³ and will be discussed further below. Although conductance fluctuations were observed in Bi nanowires²⁵ and thin Bi film quantum dots,²⁶ they are not observable for



FIG. 2. Resistance of the 55 nm wire at 1.5 K with an applied magnetic field. The angle between the magnetic field and the wire length is indicated.

the nanowires in the present study, presumably because the nanowires are long. A search for Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations (periodic in 1/B) in these samples was unsuccessful; SdH oscillations are observed in the 200 nm Bi nanowires from which we obtained the 55 nm nanowires, showing that the bismuth material in the nanowires has the required high purity and high mobility. SdH oscillations have been observed in 30 nm Bi nanowire arrays.¹⁰ However, in the samples in the present study, the conditions for observing SdH are not optimal because of the large AB oscillations. As shown in Fig. 3, the resistance changes when the nanowire is rotated around its axis. The rotational diagram of this 55 nm nanowire is similar to the one shown by large diameter nanowires, with minima and maxima separated by 90°. It has been observed that large diameter individual nanowires are single crystals whose crystalline structure, as determined by Laue x-ray diffraction and SdH methods, is oriented with the (1011) along the wire axis.²³ In such nanowires, the resistance minima and maxima correspond to the magnetic field aligned with the C_2 and C_3 axes. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the nanowires in the present study are also single crystals.



FIG. 3. Rotational diagrams of the 75 nm nanowire showing the dependence of the value of resistance at 4 K for fields of constant value and perpendicular to the wirelength (α =90°) as a function of β . C_2 and C_3 indicate the β 's for which \vec{B} is aligned with the binary and trigonal axes of the tetragonal system.



FIG. 4. dR/dB for the 55 nm wire at 1.5 K for various orientations of the applied magnetic field with respect to the wire length. $\beta = 0^{\circ}$. The curves have been displaced vertically for clarity.

Figure 4 shows dR/dB for various orientations of the magnetic field. A large modulation of the resistance is observed for all angles α , decreasing in intensity for increasing magnetic fields. We have preliminarily studied other 55 and 75 nm samples, and they exhibit a similar modulation of the resistance so that the effect appears to be a property of all Bi nanowires in this range of diameters. For low magnetic fields, B < 4 T, the modulation, which is 50 k Ω , is comparable to the characteristic quantum resistance h/e^2 $\approx 25.8 \text{ k}\Omega$. Figure 5 shows the extrema positions in field. The oscillation's periodicity is sustained, as the extrema lie on straight lines over extended ranges of magnetic fields (low *B*, intermediate, and high *B*), indicated by the dashed delimiting lines. Figure 6 shows the period P as a function of orientation in the low-B (0-3.5 T) and high-B (4.5-14 T)magnetic field ranges in the LMR case. In addition, Fig. 6 shows the orientation-dependent periods observed for 560 nm Bi nanowires from Ref. 21. The high-B periods exhibit the angular dependence $P \sim [\cos(\alpha)]^{-1}$ that is expected if the interference occurs in a path perpendicular to the wire length regardless of the orientation of the magnetic field since, in this case, $\Phi = (\pi d^2/4)B \cos \alpha$. This is the angular



FIG. 5. Indexing of the assigned position of maxima (integer) and minima (half-integer). The solid lines are linear fits. The dotted lines are an aid to the eye. The dashed lines delimit B ranges discussed in the text.



FIG. 6. Solid circles: Angle-dependent periods obtained from the magnetoresistance data in Fig. 5. Dashed lines are aides to the eye. Empty rectangles: Additional periods obtained via Fourier transform analysis; the rectangle height indicates the experimental error. Empty circles: AB oscillation periods reported in Ref. 21 for 560 nm Bi nanowires. The dotted line is a fit to that data with a period 0.018 T/cos(α). Inset: Fourier transform of the resistance in the α =0° case, in the interval 8–14 T, showing peaks at 0.89 and 1.45 T.

dependence that has been observed in large diameter Bi nanowires²¹ and also in MWNTs.²⁷ At high-*B*, the oscillation period of the 55 nm wire can be fitted with P=0.79 T $[\cos(\alpha)]^{-1}$; the $\alpha=0$ period is in fair agreement with $2h/(e\pi d^2)=0.85$ T, as calculated from the diameter of the wire by assuming a flux period of h/2e. In contrast, at low B, oscillation periods are largely α independent. For $\alpha < 20^{\circ}$, the high-B oscillation periods are shorter than the corresponding low-*B* periods by a factor of 1.6+0.1. Also, at low B, we find that the magnetoresistance in the TMR case presents oscillations; such oscillations are not found in the high-B range. A study of the periodicity of dR/dB employing Fourier transform analysis in the high-B range yields yet another mode, a long oscillation period that can be fitted as 1.58 T $[\cos(\alpha)]^{-1}$ in the LMR case and is therefore consistent with a flux period of h/e. Finding the h/e and the h/2emodes together is not surprising; this has been observed in rings where it has been interpreted to be caused by the interference of electrons that encircle the ring twice.¹⁷

Figure 7 shows the magnetic field derivative of the longitudinal magnetoresistance of 75 nm nanowires. The inset shows maxima positions versus B. The high-B period is 0.48 T. Within the experimental errors, the high-B period is proportional to the inverse of the wire cross-sectional area, confirming our interpretation that the high-field oscillations result from the AB effect.

Figure 8 shows the dR/dB in the LMR case at various temperatures. The oscillations are attenuated substantially as *T* increases from 1.5 to 4.8 K. We attempted to differentiate the oscillations of long period at low *B* from the ones of short period at high *B* according to the temperature dependence of the corresponding amplitudes, but we observed only small differences in temperature-dependent behavior, suggesting that the low-*B* and high-*B* oscillations are not different modes.



FIG. 7. dR/dB for the 75 nm wire at 1.5 K for α =0. Inset: Indexing of maxima versus B.

III. DISCUSSION

Our measurements show that the overlap of the bulk electron and hole bands has decreased substantially from the bulk value of 38 meV to a value that is estimated to be 10 meV. Experimentally, the gap values are obtained by fitting $\exp(-\Delta/2k_BT)$ to the experimental R(T) in a restricted high-temperature range, as indicated. In using this definition of Δ , we followed Choi *et al.*,²⁴ who identifed this exponent as the gap. This definition of Δ is useful because one recognizes in the temperature dependence of the resistance a "semiconductorlike behavior" that contrasts with the temperature dependence of the resistance of semimetallic nanowires (for d > 150-200 nm) and of bulk Bi. However, the conductivity is the carrier's density times the carrier's mobility, and the mobility can be expected to be temperature dependent. Since we cannot measure the mobility, we are assuming that it is temperature independent. Also, one disregards the contribution of the surface states to the temperature dependence of the conductivity. These combined factors lead to a high level of uncertainly as to the identification of Δ as the gap.

We have not discussed the band of surface states. We assume a parabolic dispersion relation that is consistent with Ref. 4 and also with the observation of a simple arrangement



FIG. 8. dR/dB (α =0) of 55 nm wires for three temperatures, as indicated. The inset shows the amplitude's temperature dependence for the first ($B \sim 1.5$ T) and fourth ($B \sim 4.1$ T) maxima.



FIG. 9. Schematic energy band diagram showing the energies of the band edges for the *L*-point electron pockets and the *T*-point holes and the Fermi level for (a) bulk Bi, where the band overlap is 38 meV and the Fermi level is 27 meV from the bottom of the *L*-point pocket band edge, and (b) 55 nm Bi nanowires, where the electron-hole band gap is 10 meV. This figure is tentative (see discussion). The electron and hole bands are shown with dashed lines to highlight the fact they represent a small density of carriers in comparison to the surface state band (solid line).

of Landau states (periodic in 1/B) observed in 30 nm nanowires.¹⁰ The number of states per spin state of a twodimensional (2D) system is $m_0 m_{\Sigma} E_F / \pi \hbar^2$, where m_0 is the free electron mass and E_F is the Fermi energy. Taking m_{Σ} =0.3, for $\Sigma = 2 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻² (these values were measured using SdH in Ref. 6 for 30 nm Bi nanowires), we find that $E_F = 18$ meV for 55 nm nanowires. For $\Sigma = 5 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻², which is the value of the surface density according to Ref. 4, we would find that $E_F = 39$ meV. The schematic energy band diagram showing the energies of the band edges and the Fermi level for bulk Bi and the 55 nm Bi nanowire is presented in Fig. 9.

For the nanowires in the present study, we can estimate the mean free path of the surface carriers as follows. The density of surface states $\mathcal{D}(E)$ is $m_0 m_{\Sigma} / \pi \hbar^2$, and therefore the diffusion coefficient $D=1/[Re^2\mathcal{D}(E_F)]$ is 208 cm²/s. This diffusion coefficient is high in comparison with those discussed by Aronov and Sharvin¹⁶ for hollow cylinders and low in comparison with Bi thin films.²⁶ Since the Fermi velocity V_F is 5×10^6 cm/s, the elastic scattering length l_e is $3D/V_F=250$ nm, which is somewhat longer than the circumference of the nanowire.

We discuss the oscillation of the magnetoresistance as evidence of a subband structure in the surface carrier band as follows. We sought guidance in other cases of AB phenomena in solid state samples such as antidot arrays²⁸ and in nanotubes where the condition for quantum interference is met. In tubes, this condition is a $l_e >$ perimeter. In single-wall carbon nanotubes, penetration by an entire flux quantum requires inaccessibly high magnetic fields. In MWNT, the AB oscillations that are observed²⁹ are attributed to the structure of the two-dimensional density of states; each peak of the density of states is caused by the opening of a one-dimensional (1D) channel of conduction in the wire associated with a particular chiral state.³⁰ The chiral states in car-

bon nanotubes are the sp states discussed by Ajiki and Ando²⁸ for graphene surfaces. Considering the similarities between the Bi surface state tubes and carbon nanotubes, we propose an interpretation of the oscillations of the magnetoresistance that we observe in terms of oscillations in the density of surface states. The energy of surface carriers in the tube is the sum of the 1D translational energy and the orbital energy that includes spin. Since the density of states of the 1D system is a maximum for zero energy, then the oscillations of the magnetoresistance indicate magnetic fields for which the orbital energy is equal to the Fermi energy. The quantum mechanical problem of a particle following a circular path in the presence of Rashba spin-orbit and Zeeman couplings has been studied extensively in the case of magnetic field perpendicular to the circle, which corresponds to our LMR case. We adopt the solution presented by Nitta et $al.^{31}$ The strength of the spin-orbit interaction is given by $B_{\rm SO}$. The orbital energy depends on orbital quantum number *m*, the rotation direction λ , and the spin direction μ . *m* is an integer. λ is +1 for clockwise rotation and -1 for counterclockwise rotation. μ is +1 and -1 for spin-up and spindown, respectively. For large m's and for large magnetic fields $(B > B_{SO})$, the energy of the orbital states is

$$E^{\lambda}_{\mu} = \frac{2\hbar^2}{m_0 m_{\Sigma} d^2} \left(m + \lambda \frac{h\Phi}{c} \right)^2 + \mu \frac{\hbar g e B}{4m_0 c} \tag{1}$$

The first and second terms are the kinetic and Zeeman energies, respectively. Here, g is the electron g factor, which is 2 for free electrons, and d is the tube diameter. This the proposed equation for the energy levels of the subband structure in the surface state band in the LMR case. We will discuss below that with small modifications, expression (1) is valid for $B < B_{SO}$ also. Evaluating expression (1) for B=0, we find m=12. As we increase the magnetic field, we find states of decreasing m and various rotational directions and spin directions with a maximum number of four levels for a change of magnetic field corresponding to h/e, which is 1.5 T. Like those quantum well states observed in Ref. 14, these states are caused by quantum interference, with the difference being that the geometry in Ref. 14 is planar and in our case is cylindrical. Another difference is that our states are composed of an orbital wave function that is coupled to a onedimensional conduction channel. There is a discrepancy between the model that arises from Eq. (1), which gives four level crossings per h/e period, and the observation of two level crossings per h/e period that we observed. This discrepancy is solved below.

There are a number of effects beyond the scope of Eq. (1) that we have observed in the low-*B* range and are not observed in the high-*B* range in the nanowires. One effect is a gradual increase of the period for decreasing magnetic field in the LMR case. This is shown in Fig. 5 for 55 nm and in the inset of Fig. 7 for 75 nm nanowires. The crossover field is 4 and 3 T for 55 and 75 nm nanowires, respectively. We also observe an oscillating magnetoresistance in the low-*B* range and in the TMR case; in other words, there is an oscillation associated with a change of magnetic field under conditions where the flux through the cylinder $\Phi=0$. Rashba

spin-orbit coupling (SOC) in the surface states may explain these observations. Rings of 2D holes in GaAs have been studied extensively and, similar to our nanowires, show AB oscillations and involve SOC. In this case, it is observed that the magnetoresistance shows, in addition to the fundamental oscillation that is cyclic with the AB phase, a sideband whose strength depends on the range of magnetic fields under observation.¹⁸ The sideband is interpreted as evidence of a Berry or dynamical phase. The phase originates from the change in the direction of the local field, which is the resultant of B and the effective SOC field B_{SO} . Since the effects caused by the Berry phase appear for $B < B_{SO}$, it is natural to associate the effective SOC field with magnetic fields (4 T for 55 nm nanowires) for which we observe a crossover from low-B to high-B behaviors. However, the conditions for observing Berry phase phenomena are very stringent¹⁹ and the observations in Ref. 18 are considered controversial. A more in-depth study of the experimental results concerning spinorbit coupling in Bi nanowires will be presented in a separate publication.

We observed a decrease of the resistance with an applied magnetic field parallel to the wire length (Fig. 2). This effect has been observed in many studies and by many groups in almost all samples of Bi nanowires even those of small diameter.^{2,32} When conduction is dominated by L electrons, this phenomenon, Chambers effect, occurs when the magnetic field focuses electrons toward the core of the wire (away from the surface), thereby avoiding surface collisions. In the case of bulk conduction, Chambers' effect is associated with ballistic transport. However, we have argued that electronic transport is dominated by surface carriers, and the question that arises is the interpretation of the decrease of resistance with magnetic fields for surface carriers. We propose that it is conceivable that surface carriers of the rotation direction $\lambda = +1$ experience decreasing surface scattering for increasing magnetic fields because of magnetic forces that point inward. Since this force depends on the rotation direction, charges with $\lambda = -1$ would experience more surface scattering, which precludes them from giving observable peaks in the magnetoresistance. Since there are two, not four, of such states per h/e period, this interpretation would resolve the discrepancy about the number of level crossings. Also, it would demonstrate spin splitting because the states of different spins give rise to separate peaks of the $\mathcal{D}(E_F)$. We are working on a detailed model of surface scattering based on the consideration of lateral confinement of surface states, and preliminary results are encouraging.

We concluded, based on the finding that l_e is longer than the wire perimeter, that AB quantum interference and ballistic transport, rather than AAS, conditions apply. This conclusion is supported by the dependence of the nanowires's resistance with an applied magnetic field perpendicular to the wirelength (Fig. 2); the resistance decreases for small and moderate fields. In the cases of thin films,¹³ nanowires,^{25,33} and three-dimensional networks on 6 nm Bi nanowires,³⁴ which are AAS cases, the resistance increases upon application of such magnetic fields.

Now, we comment on the experimental observation that the prominent oscillations in single 55 nm nanowires are of the AB type, while SdH dominates the magnetoresistance for 30 nm wire arrays. Surface states are localized at the surface; inside the crystal, the wave functions show damped oscillations with increasing distance from the surface. The observation of SdH oscillations caused by surface charge carriers in 30 nm nanowires in Ref. 10 is significant. SdH oscillations are caused by Landau states near the Fermi level. Landau states are caused by the quantization of closed orbits in the presence of magnetic fields; their orbits extend over the Larmor radius r_L , which is $m_{\Sigma}m_0V_F/|e|B$. For B=5 T, we get r_L =17 nm. To support Landau states, the spatial range of the surface states has to be larger than r_{L} . Therefore, the surface states in 30 nm nanowires fill a very significant fraction of the wire, and it cannot be considered a hollow cylindrical conductor. This explains why AB phenomena cannot be easily identified in 30 nm nanowires, and we reported only the observation of SdH at high magnetic fields. Also, in comparison to wire arrays, the conditions for observation of quantum interference effect are vastly improved in individual nanowires; in arrays of nanowires, the diameters are not entirely uniform, the phase information relevant for quantum interference is averaged, and therefore, the magnetic field for maxima and minima randomized. Further work regarding the magnetoresistance of 30 nm arrays at low magnetic fields is in progress.

Even if the nanowire is a single crystal, the surface cannot be a single low-index crystal of the type that is studied in ARPES experiment; rather, it consists of a finite set of different surfaces as one goes around. Clearly, at the atomic scale, the nanowire surface consists of sections of low-index surfaces. However, there is no evidence from our SEM measurements that there are flat surfaces at the nanoscale level in the nanowire. Still, at least at the atomic level, the nanowire surface consists of a finite set of different surfaces as one goes around. A number of these surfaces were studied using ARPES, and the results show that surface states with relatively high effective masses and similar carrier densities are present on all low-index surfaces studied so far.4-9 If the surfaces are, in fact, a combination of different single-crystal surfaces, one would expect the resistance to be comparable to that estimated for a single-crystal surface which is rolled up. We have compared our value of the sheet resistance $(300 \ \Omega)$ to estimates based on the carrier densities and the mobility for the carriers in thin films.^{11,12,26} References 11 and 26 give mobilities of 10^5 and 10^4 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, from which we find resistance per square values of 60 and 600 Ω . Reference 12 is especially interesting because the authors get experimental values for both the electron mobility and concentration. From the values for the 40 nm thick films, we calculate a resistance square of 2000 Ω . Therefore, overall, the resistance square of our nanowires is in the range of resistance squares that is found in thin film experiments.

The consideration of different surface orientations might also be important for explaining the puzzling fact that the 30 nm wires are more "bulklike" in the sense that they show no AB but only SdH phenomena. The relative area of surface orientations might depend on the size of the wire, and the Bi(100) surface states penetrate much more deeply into the bulk than the surface states on the other surfaces. This can lead to some confusion, similar to the case of Bi nanoclusters where surface and bulk properties cannot always be distinguished very clearly.³⁵

We note that since the surface carriers have high (metallic) density and their effective masses are high, their partial thermopower is much smaller than that of semimetallic Bi; still, the surface states may contribute significantly to the thermopower of Bi nanowires because of the presence of a subband structure in the surface carrier band and an associated structure in the density of states. We also note that Δ and also the number of carriers at low temperatures can be expected to change with applied magnetic field because of its effect on the electron and hole energy bands considering the spin-orbit coupling. We believe that these effects can be neglected in the present study because the magnetic field of 14 T is small compared with the field that is required to induce the semimetal-to-semiconductor transformation, 88 T, ³⁶ and the one that induces critical decreases of the electron gap, 45 T.³⁷

IV. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we present a band model for smalldiameter Bi nanowires that accounts for our resistance and magnetoresistance measurements. In this model, at low temperatures, the L electron and T hole states are empty and the charge carriers are predominantly in surface states in the periphery of the nanowire. Charge carriers are confined to the hollow conducting cylinder made of surface states. When this conducting tube is threaded by the magnetic field, surface carriers' wavelike nature manifests as a periodic oscillation in the electrical resistance as a function of the enclosed magnetic flux. The oscillation of the magnetoresistance is revealing of a subband structure in the band of surface carriers. This subband is associated with orbital states under quantum interference conditions that are similar to the quantum well states observed using ARPES in very thin Bi films. Effects at small magnetic fields that are expected for spinorbit Rashba interactions are observed, and therefore, our study may provide the basis for exploring spin-dependent transport in nanowires. The measurement of the properties of surface charges is an integral part of the problem of quantum size effects and thermoelectricity of Bi nanowires.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Y. Hill and P. Jones for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Civilian Research and Development Foundation for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (CRDF), Award No. MP2-3019. The work of T.E.H. and M.J.G. was supported by the Division of Materials Research of the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grants No. NSF-0506842 and No. NSF-0611595. The work of T.E.H. was also supported by the Division of Materials of the U.S. Army Research Office under Grant No. DAAD4006-MS-SAH.

- ¹L. D. Hicks and M. S. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 12727 (1993).
- ²Y.-M. Lin, X. Sun, and M. S. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 4610 (2000).
- ³K. Seeger, *Semiconductor Physics* (Springer-Verlag, Wien, 1973); S. Saraf, A. Schwarzman, Y. Dvash, S. Cohen, D. Ritter, and Y. Rosenwaks, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 035336 (2006).
- ⁴ Yu. M. Koroteev, G. Bihlmayer, J. E. Gayone, E. V. Chulkov, S. Blugel, P. M. Echenique, and Ph. Hofmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 046403 (2004).
- ⁵S. La Shell, B. A. McDougall, and E. Jensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3419 (1996).
- ⁶S. Agergaard, Ch. Sondergaard, H. Li, M. B. Nielsen, S. V. Hoffmann, Z. Li, and Ph. Hofmann, New J. Phys. **3**, 15.1 (2001).
- ⁷C. R. Ast and H. Hochst, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 177602 (2001).
- ⁸Ph. Hofmann, J. E. Gayone, G. Bihlmayer, Yu. M. Koroteev, and E. V. Chulkov, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 195413 (2005).
- ⁹Ph. Hofmann, Prog. Surf. Sci. 81, 191 (2006).
- ¹⁰T. E. Huber, A. Nikolaeva, D. Gitsu, L. Konopko, C. A. Foss, Jr., and M. J. Graf, Appl. Phys. Lett. **84**, 1326 (2004).
- ¹¹D. L. Partin, J. Heremans, D. T. Morelli, C. M. Thrush, C. H. Olk, and T. A. Perry, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 3818 (1988).
- ¹²C. A. Hoffman, J. R. Meyer, F. J. Bartoli, A. DiVenere, X. J. Yi, C. L. Hou, H. C. Wang, J. B. Ketterson, and G. K. Wong, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 5535 (1995).
- ¹³Y. F. Komnic, I. B. Berkutov, and V. V. Andrievskii, Fiz. Nizk. Temp. **31**, 429 (2004) [Low Temp. Phys. **31**, 326 (2005)].
- ¹⁴T. Hirahara, T. Nagao, I. Matsuda, G. Bihlmayer, E. V. Chulkov,

Yu. M. Koroteev, P. M. Echenique, M. Saito, and S. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 146803 (2006).

- ¹⁵Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **115**, 485 (1959).
- ¹⁶B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov, and B. Z. Spivak, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 101 (1981) [JETP Lett. **33**, 94 (1981)]. A. G. Aronov and Y. V. Sharvin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 755 (1987).
- ¹⁷ V. Chandrasekhar, M. J. Rooks, S. Wind, and D. E. Prober, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 1610 (1985); A. E. Hansen, A. Kristensen, S. Pedersen, C. B. Sorensen, and P. E. Lindelof, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 045327 (2001).
- ¹⁸J. B. Yau, E. P. De Poortere, and M. Shayegan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 146801 (2002).
- ¹⁹M. Konig, A. Tschetschetkin, E. M. Hankiewicz, J. Sinova, V. Hock, V. Daumer, M. Schafer, C. R. Becker, H. Buhmann, and L. W. Molenkamp, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 076804 (2006).
- ²⁰A. Bachtold, A. Strunk, J.-P. Salvetat, J.-M. Bonard, L. Forro, T. Nussbaumer, and C. Schonenberger, Nature (London) **397**, 673 (1999).
- ²¹N. B. Brandt, E. N. Bogachek, D. V. Gitsu, G. A. Gogadze, I. O. Kulik, A. A. Nikolaeva, and Y. G. Ponomarev, Fiz. Nizk. Temp. **8**, 718 (1982) [Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys. **8**, 358 (1982)].
- ²²E. N. Bogachek and G. A. Gogazde, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **63**, 1839 (1972) [Sov. Phys. JETP **36**, 973 (1972)].
- ²³D. Gitsu, L. Konopko, A. Nikolaeva, and T. E. Huber, Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 102105 (2005).
- ²⁴D. S. Choi, A. A. Balandin, M. S. Leung, G. W. Stupian, N. Presser, S. W. Chung, J. R. Heath, A. Khitun, and K. L. Wang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 141503 (2006).

- ²⁶B. Hackens, J. P. Minet, S. Faniel, G. Farhi, C. Gustin, J. P. Issi, J. P. Heremans, and V. Bayot, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 121403(R) (2003).
- ²⁷ A. Fujiwara, K. Tomiyama, H. Suematsu, M. Yumura, and K. Uchida, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 13492 (1999).
- ²⁸H. Ajiki and T. Ando, Physica B **201**, 349 (1994).
- ²⁹U. C. Coskun, T.-C. Wei, S. Vishveshwara, P. M. Goldbart, and A. Bezryadin, Science **304**, 1132 (2004).
- ³⁰H. Ajiki and T. Ando, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62**, 1255 (1993).
- ³¹J. Nitta, F. E. Meijer, and H. Takayanagi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 75,

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 77, 075332 (2008)

695 (1999).

- ³²J. Heremans, C. M. Thrush, Y.-M. Lin, S. Cronin, Z. Zhang, M. S. Dresselhaus, and J. F. Mansfield, Phys. Rev. B 61, 2921 (2000).
- ³³Z. B. Zhang, X. Sun, M. S. Dresselhaus, J. Y. Ying, and J. Heremans, Phys. Rev. B **61** 4850 (2000).
- ³⁴T. E. Huber and M. J. Graf, Phys. Rev. B **60** 16880 (1999).
- ³⁵C. Vossloh, M. Holdenried, and H. Micklitz, Phys. Rev. B 58, 12422 (1998).
- ³⁶N. Miura, K. Hiruma, G. Kido, and S. Chikazumi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1339 (1982).
- ³⁷K. Hiruma and N. Miura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **52**, 2118 (1983).